

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/973,416	11/14/1997	MORIO HARA	13700-0176	6879
7590 10/19/2004			EXAMINER	
ROBERT G. MUKAI			KRUER, KEVIN R	
BURNS, DOA	NE, SWECKER & MA	THIS, L.L.P.		
P.O. BOX 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			1773	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	٠			
Advisory Action	08/973,416	HARA ET AL.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin R Kruer	1773	1 11/1/			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress 🗸			
THE REPLY FILED 27 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of to (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing a FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amounthe shortened statutory period for reply once later than three months after the mail	ig date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the approport Originally set in the final (on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or			
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 September 2004</u>. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: 						
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe		see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fire	nally rejected claims	3 .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: see	reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration has been consideration.	dered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly			
7 \(\sum \) For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)luld be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered ar w or appended.	nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13,22,24-31 and 33-37</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 20 and 21.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement						
10. Other:	(e)(· · ·				

Application/Control Number: 08/973,416

Art Unit: 1773

Advisory Action

The proposed amendment filed September 27, 2004 has been fully considered. However, the proposed amendment has not been entered because it raises new issues that would require further search and consideration. Specifically, a pellet wherein "50 grams of the pellet when stored at 15°C for one week in a sealed 180ml container requires the presence of water in order to absorb oxygen" has not been previously considered. Furthermore, the proposed amendment has not been entered because it is not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing and/or simplifying the issues for appeal.

Applicant has failed to explain how the proposed amendment would make the claimed invention patentably distinct from the pellets rendered obvious by the prior art. Specifically, Koyama teaches blending polyolefin, polyvinyl alcohol, and an oxygen absorbing agent to form a composition, and then pelletizing said composition (see example 1). The After-Final amendment filed September 27, 2004 contains no argument as to why the pellet of the proposed claims would be patentably distinguished from the pellet rendered obvious from the applied art. Furthermore, Table 1 does not contain a comparative example wherein the hydrophilic reducing organic compound, the hydrophilic and water insoluble thermoplastic resin, and the hydrophobic thermoplastic resin are blended together as taught in Koyama. Thus, the data contained in Table 1 fails to distinguish the claimed pellet to the closest prior art.

Art Unit: 1773

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin R Kruer whose telephone number is 571-272-1510. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on 571-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin R. Kruer

X-R7-

Patent Examiner-Art Unit 1773